

Geschäftsführer DI Hans Unterfrauner Rochuspark, Erdbergstraße 10/33, A-1030 Wien office: +43 676 3641030 mobil: +43 664 3890397 office@bodenoekologie.com www.bodenoekologie.com

> FN 430626z / Gerichtsstand Wien UID: AT U69409936 Bankverbindung: Erste Bank Oesterreich IBAN: AT41 2011 1826 6448 0200 BIC: GIBAATVWXXX

Ecological Basic Characterization

| Sample: | 1 |
|-------------|---|
| Sampler: | Joe Bloggs |
| Position: | unknown |
| Climate: | unknown |
| Crop/Yield: | Winter Rapeseed 4 t/ha |
| Laboratory: | Water & Waste, LabNr. agr15-0590.1 / 2015 |
| | |

Results:

Light/medium-heavy soil, lime-free, pH-value_{water} slightly alkaline, pH-value_{KCl} slightly acidic, danger of acidification. Aggregate stability middle, electric conductivity very high/danger of washout, content of humus low, quality of humus beneficial.

Site very weak in terms of sorption, calcium- and magnesium-content on the sorption complex very low. Potential acid very high.

Lack of plant available substances (phosphorus, manganese, zinc, molybdenum). Surplus of potassium, sulphur, iron.

Traces of potential toxic elements nickel, chrome, lead.

Measures:

Humus formation (leave straw on the field, green manure, catch crops, compost). Application of lime, gypsum and dolomite to improve and stabilize the acid system. Application of calcium (lime, gypsum), potassium and magnesium (dolomite) to optimize the sorption complex. Mobilizing the reserves of phosphorus und manganese, addition of zinc, molybdenum (if needed via leaf-application).

Customer:

Joe Bloggs

Soil Characteristics

BODEN ökologie analytik landschaft TB UNTERFRAUNER GMBH

no anomalies

risk of contamination

Table 1: Summary

| Sc | oil Characteristics, Dep | oth O | - 30 | cm | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------|----------|--------------|-----------------|---------|-----------|----------|---------------------------------------|---------|--|
| | Parameter | Value | very low | low | favourable | high | very high | Rei | marks | | |
| | Class of soil texture (KH) | 42 | | | | | | light/ | medium heavy soil | | |
| Ś | pH value KCI [-log H+] | 6,5 | - | | | | | | c acidic | | |
| ter | pH value H2O [-log H+] | 7,4 | - | | | | | weal | (alkaline | | |
| Basic Parameters | Lime content CaCO3 [%] | 0,0 | | | | | | unve | rifiable | | |
| ara | Substances in solution [ec, mS/cm] | 1,1 | | | | | | wash | nout | | |
| P, | Soil org. matt. content [%] = Corg * 1,724 | 1,5 | - | | | | T | gree | n manure | | |
| Isio | Soil organic matter quality [C/N] | 13,4 | - | | | | | - | bsequent delivery from | humus | |
| Ba | Soil organic matter quality [C/P] | 48,1 | | | | | | | urable | | |
| | Soil organic matter quality [C/S] | 100,0 | | | | | | narro | ow ratio | | |
| | CEC pot [mmolc/kg] | 55 | | | | | | _ | orption weak | | |
| | CEC act [mmolc/kg] | 23 | | | | | | | ery sorption weak | | |
| | Base saturation [BS % CECpot] | 42 | | | | | | | of acidification | | |
| × | Ca on the magnet [%CECpot] | 32,5 | | | | | | very | | | |
| Sorption Complex | Mg on the magnet [%CECpot] | 7,4 | | | | | | very | | | |
| Ĭ | K on the magnet [%CECpot] | 2,0 | | | | | | - | urable | | |
| ö | Na on the magnet [%CECpot] | 0,2 | | - | | | | | urable | | |
| ion | Al on the magnet [%CECpot] | 0,2 | | | | | | | urable | | |
| rpt | NH4N on the magnet [%CECpot] | 0,0 | | | | | | | urable | | |
| So | Fe on the magnet [%CECpot] | 0,0 | | | | | | | avourable | | |
| | Mn on the magnet [%CECpot] | 0,0 | | | | | | | urable | | |
| | H on the magnet [%CECpot] | 0,3 | | | | | | | al acid low | | |
| | pot. Acid on the magnet [%CECpot] | | | - | | | | very | | | |
| | Melioration (Measures to inc | | | il Eortilita | | | | very | | | |
| | Lime (CaCO3) kg/ha | 2840 | | | y) 0% MgCO3) | ka/ba | 1820 | Deteco | ium (K) kg/ha organic | 170 | |
| min. | Gypsum (CaSO4 * 2 H2O) kg/ha | 350 | | esium (Mg | | / ку/па | 1020 | or mine | | 170 | |
| | Building of permanent humus kg/ha | 54500 | | straw on | | | | Catch o | rons | | |
| org. | | 34300 | | manure | | | | Compo | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Diff. 1) | Accompant | Reserve | |
| | Plant Nutrition | Value | very low | low | favourable | high | very high | kg/ha | Assessment at the time of sampling | kg/ha | |
| Ļ | C org [kg/ha] | 600 | | | | | | | building humus | 40000 | |
| lization- ential | N org [kg/ha] | 48 | | | | | | | low reserves | 3200 | |
| | P org [kg/ha] | 12 | | | | | | | high reserves | 832 | |
| Mir | S org [kg/ha] | 6 | | | | | | | medium reserves | 400 | |
| | Ca [kg/ha] | 1535 | | | | | | | sufficient | 4900 | |
| | Mg [kg/ha] | 220 | | | | | | | sufficient | 800 | |
| | K [kg/ha] | 230 | | | | | | | surplus | 600 | |
| e | P [kg/ha] | 10 | | | | | | 20 | serious shortage | 500 | |
| ilab g | NH4-N [kg/ha] | 0,7 | | | | | | (20) | serious shortage | | |
| ava | NO3-N [kg/ha] | 130,3 | | | | | | (10) | sufficient | | |
| int a | N min [kg/ha] | 131,0 | | | | | | 30 | sufficient | | |
| Substance plant available at the time of sampling | SO4 [kg/ha] | 164,0 | | | | | | | surplus | | |
| nce tir | Fe [kg/ha] | 1,6 | | | | | | | surplus | 7100 | |
| stal | Mn [kg/ha] | 0,04 | | | | | | 1,60 | serious shortage | 1390 | |
| gng | Cu [kg/ha] | 0,03 | | | | | | | sufficient | 30 | |
| 0 | Zn [kg/ha] | 0,00 | | | | | | 1,60 | serious shortage | 30 | |
| | Mo [kg/ha] | 0,00 | | | | | | 0,18 | serious shortage | 0 | |
| | B [kg/ha] | 0,32 | | | | | | , | sufficient | 0 | |
| | | -, | - | | | | | | no anomalies | - | |

Mobilization: Phosphorus, Manganese

pot. toxic substances

Aluminium

Input: Zinc, Molybdenum

1) Difference of the needs of the plants during the whole vegetation period at the time of sampling. Crop: W Rape, Yield: 4 t/ha

1

Γ





Soil-physical Derivations

 Austrian texture triangle OENorm L 1050
 Water tension and water content

 Source:Stefan Diringer (2010): Gibt es in der Bodenphysik Möglichkeiten Einzelparameter durch einen einzelnen Summenparameter zu charakterisieren? Scientific Diploma Thesis. Department of Geography and Regional Research, University of Vienna



Detailed picture of the sample



Basic Characteristics



Basic Characteristics

Figure 1: Basic characteristics of the soil

Class of Soil Texture/Soil Water Regime

The sandy/loamy **soil texture** determines a **light/medium-heavy** soil. Fully saturated the soil is able to hold back approx. 4,2 ml water per 10 g. This equals an amount of water of 840 m³/ha and a depth of 30 cm. If fully saturated in winter/spring this is enough to outlast short droughts (early summer drought) without any damage.

The **pF-curve** in the section "Soil-physical Derivations" shows, which suction tensions are predominant under which water contents in percent by weight. Below pF 1,8 the water is bound weakly (seepage water) and not plant available. Between pF 1,8 and 4,2 is the area of plant available water. Above pF 4,2 the water in the soil is already bond too strong, so the "forces" of most of the cultivated crops are insufficient to get water out of the soil, the plants are wilting ("wilting point"). The energetic "crucial point" is pF 3,5. From this suction tension the plant needs more energy to get water out of the soil, than provided and stored by photosynthesis. If irrigation is an option it should start no later than suction tension 3,5 (marked red in the diagram).

To get a picture of the actual water tension take a representive soil sample. Weigh the sample in wet and dry condition, the difference in weight equals the water content. With the gauge reference (water content in %) and the pF-curve in the diagram you can deduce the suction tension directly.



<u>Aggregate Stability</u>

The aggregate stability is **middle**, after shaking the sample with water the supernatant liquid was slightly clouded. The clouding is based on suspended soil parts. This can lead to problems with the water- and air balance of the soil.

<u>pH Value</u>

The pH_{water} is in the slightly alkaline range (7,4), in the neutral salt the pH-value (KCl) is slightly acidic with **6,5**. The buffering system is in the range of the **exchange buffer**. The difference between the two pH-values allows conclusions about the biological activity of the soil. Differences between 0,5 und 1,5 pH-units have proved to be beneficial. The difference of 0,9 pH-units of the sample in hand is a hint for lively microorganism activity, relating to the acidic state of the sample.

<u>Soil Solution</u>

The electric conductivity represents the amount of salts in solution. The electric conductivity of the sample is **1,1 mS/cm** and lies considerably higher than the beneficial range (0,5 to 1) for the vegetation period. There is a danger of washout! ATTENTION: At the end of the growing season or during the dormant period lower values are favourable! The salts in solution (see also the water-soluble fraction on the analysis sheet) are important for plant nutrition (transpirational suction) and for aggregate stability. The site is very weak in terms of sorption. Already during smaller rainfall events the **washout** of elements from the soil solution can be expected. The application of easily soluble substances should only be performed in exceptional situations. Additionally the amount of the substance has to be adjusted to the stage of development of the crop. The following elements are responsible for the electric conductivity in the soil (all parameters see appendix):

| Nutrient | 1 |
|--------------------|-------|
| Ca | 175 |
| Mg | 28 |
| K | 51 |
| Na | 7 |
| NH ₄ -N | 0 |
| NO ₃ -N | 85 |
| Р | 0,30 |
| SO_4 | 85 |
| Cl | 25 |
| Al | 0,0 |
| Fe | 0,0 |
| Mn | 0,00 |
| В | 0,14 |
| Zn | 0,00 |
| Cu | 0,02 |
| Si | 4,3 |
| Ni | 0,01 |
| Cr | 0,006 |
| Pb | 0,02 |

Table 2: Composition of the soil solution, concentrations in mg/l

The soil solution is the most important medium for plant nutrition. Plant roots are only able to absorb solutes. The selectivity of the plant absorption for distinct nutrients is only working in an optimum range out of an "ideal solution". That's why the soil solution should hold an "ideal composition" of substance concentrations.



Potassium (K) is in solution in a beneficial concentration in proportion to magnesium (Mg) and calcium (Ca). The Phosphorus (P) concentration is in an **optimum range**. The **supply with trace elements** is good, apart from iron (Fe), manganese (Mn) and zinc (Zn).

The ratio of NO_3 -N to NH_4 -N is shifted towards. NO₃-N. This is beneficial and indicates sufficient soil ventilation for nitrogen-oxidizing bacteria.

The concentration of sulphate (SO₄) is increased.

Traces of the potential toxic elements nickel (Ni), chrome (Cr), lead (Pb) were detected in the soil solution. The contamination source has to be spotted.

Content of Soil organic Matter (humus)

The *content of soil organic matter is relatively low* for a field site in this soil texture class and should be increased. To accomplish that the regular cultivation of green manuring crops is suitable.

| content of soil org.matt. | % | 1,52 | potential of mineralization | |
|---------------------------|-----|------|-----------------------------|-------|
| quality of soil org.matt. | C:N | 13,4 | 30 to 50 | kg/ha |
| | C:P | 48 | 5 to 15 | kg/ha |
| | C:S | 100 | 4 to 10 | kg/ha |

Table 3: Content of soil organic matter and potential of mineralization

The C/N ratio of 13,4 is relatively narrow. The type of humus is mull. Under good weather conditions (temperature, moisture) the amounts of N, P and S (sulphur) specified in table 3 potentially can be mineralized during one growing season through microbiological processes. The mineralized nutrients can be absorbed by the plants and can contribute an important part to plant nutrition.



Sorption Complex



Sorption complex "magnet" potential

Figure 2: Composition of the sorption complex

Humus and clay particles are able to attach nutrients in the soil and prevent them from washout. These components in the soil are acting like "*magnets*" because they have an electric charge. They are called the sorption complex/exchanger. The strength of the magnet, meaning the number of charges that are able to attach, is called exchange capacity (**CEC**).

The tested soil sample has a total magnet capacity (**CEC pot**) of **5,49 mmolc/100g**. This can be attributed to the humus and the clay minerals, oxides and hydroxides. Currently **42%** of the total capacity is used, this corresponds to an actual capacity of **2,33 mmolc/100g** (=**CEC act**)! Presently the soil is **very weak** in terms of **sorption**.

The *base saturation* (**BS**) with **42%** is clearly beneath the wanted range (70-90%), the ability to buffer additional acid-inflows is moderately pronounced. There is a danger that the site gets **increasingly acidified** within a short period of time, the soil fertility is **at risk**. Additionally the "potential" acid is very high (58%), the ability to buffer acids should be reinforced.

On sites with a $pH_{KCl} < 7$ a part of the sorption surface areas is blocked with "potential acid". That's why the capacity of the magnets is declining (see the difference between CEC pot and CEC act). In these cases the exchangeable substances have to be related to the actual used part of the magnet, because that is the actual environmental situation for plant roots and microorganisms.



The occupancy of the magnet with nutrients is an important parameter for the assessment of soil fertility. Optimal conditions are present, if:

| | Ca : | Mg : | K : | Na : | (H+Al) |
|---------|-------|-------|-------|------|--------|
| TARGET: | 60-80 | 10-20 | 1,5-4 | < 5 | < 10 |
| 1 | 76,6 | 17,5 | 4,7 | 0,4 | 0,7 |



Sorption complex "magnet" actual

Figure 3: Composition of the actual sorption complex

The plant roots and the microorganisms find a **partly beneficial** distribution of substances on the **actual** used part of the **sorption complex** (**CEC act**).

The parts of Ca and Mg are located in the optimal range. K lies in surplus.

The part of **potential acid** (**pot. acid**) is very high. Potential acid is formed by the acid buffering beginning in the range of the exchange buffer. Acid is accumulated on the sorption complex, nutrients (Ca, Mg, K, Na, NH₄-N) are mobilized. The higher the acid-part gets, the "tighter" the acid is fixed, increasingly bigger sections of the "magnet-areas" get blocked, the potential of the site is declining in total.

To increase the Ca-content and to buffer a part of the potential acid the application of the substances, specified in the summary "melioration" (table 1), is recommended . All melioration calculations refer to the total capacity, because with that the potential acid is mobilized and neutralized. It is important to apply all of the specified substances. Otherwise the beneficial distribution of the cations is influenced negatively.



Assessment of the Nutrients



Fractions of K, Mg, P in kg/ha

Figure 4: Fractions of the nutrients K, Mg, P (ws=water-soluble, ex=exchangeable, sd=subsequent deliverable). All contents are net values (ex without ws, sd without ex and without ws)

The figure and the following tables depict the **plant available** parts **at the time of the sampling**. Looking at this **snapshot** it is not ecologically useful if the full amount of nutrients, required for the stated crop and yield level for one growing season, is present in an easily available form.

Potassium

Table 4: Different K-pools of the soil, 1

| element | availability | quantity kg/ha | remarks |
|---------|------------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| K | water-soluble | 77,1 | sufficient |
| K | exchangeable | 153 | surplus |
| K | subsequent deliverable | 550 | well filled |

Magnesium

Table 5: Different Mg-pools of the soil, 1

| element | availability | quantity kg/ha | remarks |
|---------|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| Mg | water-soluble | 42,9 | sufficient |
| Mg | exchangeable | 176 | application for melioration |
| Mg | subsequent deliverable | 750 | well filled |



Phosphorus

Table 6: Different P-pools of the soil, 1

| element | availability | concentration mg/l | quantity kg/ha | quantity in % P total | remarks |
|---------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Р | water-soluble | 0,30 | 0,6 | 0,03 | sufficient |
| Р | exchangeable | | 8 | 0 | serious shortage |
| Р | subsequent deliverable | | 550 | 31 | mineral reserves high |
| Р | organic | | 832 | 47 | organic reserves high |
| Р | total | | 1784 | | total contents middle |

The P-concentration in the *soil solution* is 0,30 mg/l and lies in the beneficial range for a good plant development. In total around 8 kg/ha of P are present in *plant available* form. This amount is not sufficient for the whole growing season. But through microbiological and chemical processes an ongoing mobilization of P out of the reserve fraction can be assumed, depending on temperature and moisture conditions.

The *acid-soluble reserve pool* is well filled with approx. **550 kg/ha** of P, in the *organic reserve pool* (humus) approx. **830 kg/ha** of P are stored. I order to sustainably secure the P-supply measures should be undertaken to sustainably **mobilize P** from the **reserve pools**.

To do so a number of strategies are suitable, like:

- Improvement and stabilization of the acid systems (e.g. application of dolomite, lime)
- Supporting the microbial activity (e.g. improvement of ventilation, supply with trace elements, green manure, catch crops, leaving the straw on the field)
- Ion competition (e.g. silicate)
- Cultivation of P-unbarring plants (e.g. buckwheat, white lupine)
- Application of P-unbarring bacteria (megaterium phosphoricum)



Trace Elements



Fractions of Si, Fe, Mn in kg/ha

Figure 5: Fractions of the nutrients Si, Fe, Mn (ws=water-soluble, ex=exchangeable, sd=subsequent deliverable). All contents are net values (ex without ws, sd without ex and without ws)



Fractions of Cu, Zn, B in kg/ha

Figure 6: Fractions of the nutrients Cu, Zn, B (ws=water-soluble, ex=exchangeable, sd=subsequent deliverable). All contents are net values (ex without ws, sd without ex and without ws)



| element | plant available kg/ ha | reserve pool kg/ha | recommended application via soil kg/ha |
|---------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Si | 17 | 2650 | Si is able to mobilize P |
| Fe | 2 | 7090 | none |
| Mn* | 0,04 | 1390 | 1,60 |
| Cu | 0,03 | 30,0 | none |
| Zn | 0,00 | 27,8 | 1,60 |
| Co | 0,11 | 12,0 | none |
| Мо | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,18 |
| В | 0,32 | 0,00 | none |

Table 7: Trace elements in different pools, 1

Attention: Surplus of Fe!

* The reserve contents of manganese (**Mn**) are high. Mn is mobilized in the soil especially by reductive conditions (=air shortage) and acid. After rainfall events and under wet conditions many pores in the soil are filled with water, Mn is mobilized sufficiently. During dry periods Mn is fixed strongly. Despite the high Mn contents in the soil an addition of Mn could be useful in these situations (the application should take place via the leaves).

Toxic Elements

Because of the water-soluble contents of chrome (**Cr**), lead (**Pb**), nickel (**Ni**) there is a risk of contamination for adjacent systems (e.g. water bodies, ground water).

With kind regards

Univ.Lek. DI. Hans Unterfrauner

<u>Remark</u>: The interpretation and the recommended measures refer to the soil sample that was sent in. We assume no liability for the quality of the sampling process and the sample itself.

A-2544 Leobersdorf Aredstraße 13 Top 3-4

Tel: +43 2256 64 186 **Fax:** +43 2256 64 186 -21 Email: agrar@waterandwaste.at

water & waste

Wasser- und Abfallwirtschaft Abt. Agrar- und Bodenkunde

SOIL SURVEY

| Customer: Address: | TB Unterfrauner GmbH Erdbergstraße 10/33 | Laboratory Nr.: Label: | agr15-0590.1 4826 Boden | |
|-------------------------------------|---|---------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|
| | 1030 Wien | Crop: | W-Rapeseed | |
| Site designation: Sampling date: | OT4833 | Depth: | 0-30cm | yield: 4.00t |

| DADAMETED | | | | | | | | IV |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|------------|-------|--------------------|------------------|---------|------------|-------|
| PARAMETER | SYMBOL | UNIT | VALUE | PARAMETER | H ₂ O | exch. | subs. del. | total |
| Class of soil texture | KH | - | 42,4 | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg |
| Electric conductivity | eL | mS/cm | 1,115 | Ca | 74,08 | 357,27 | 1082 | |
| Lime content | CaCO ₃ | % | | Mg | 12,07 | 49,41 | 167,32 | |
| Water content | WGF | % | 11,31 | K | 21,69 | 43,02 | 124,70 | |
| Reaction (w) | pH-H ₂ O | - | 7,43 | Na | 3,07 | 2,02 | 90,60 | |
| Reaction (n) | pH-CaCl ₂ | - | | NH ₄ -N | 0,01 | 0,19 | | |
| Reaction (a) | pH-KCl | - | 6,46 | Н | <0,01 | 0,14 | | |
| Exchange capac. (T) | CECp | mmolc/100g | 5,49 | AI | <0,0042 | 0,29 | 870,71 | |
| Base saturation | BS | % CECp | 42,07 | Ba | 0,08 | 0,38 | 36,81 | |
| Active T-part | Ta/Tp | | 0,42 | PO ₄ | 0,39 | 5,28 | 482,23 | |
| Ca- part on T | Ca% | % CECp | 32,47 | P | 0,13 | 1,72 | 157,27 | |
| Mg- part on T | Mg% | % CECp | 7,40 | NO ₃ -N | 35,84 | | | |
| K- part on T | K% | % CECp | 2,00 | SO ₄ | 36,09 | | | |
| Na- part on T | Na% | % CECp | 0,16 | CI | 10,51 | | | |
| | NH₄-N% | % CECp | 0,02 | HCO ₃ | 99,58 | | | |
| H ⁺ - part on T | H ⁺ | % CECp | 0,26 | SiO ₃ | 4,91 | 8,42 | 1582 | |
| Al- part on T | AI% | % CECp | 0,06 | BO ₃ | 0,32 | 0,15 | <5,44 | |
| Ba- part on T | Ba% | % CECp | <0,01 | 0 | , | | , | |
| Potential acid part | Sp% | % CECp | 57,60 | Ag | <0,0030 | <0,0373 | <2,00 | |
| Abb.org.substance | AOS | % | 1,52 | Fe | 0,02 | 0,44 | 1560 | |
| Org. carbon | C _{org} | % | 0,88 | Mn | 0,0005 | 0,01 | 305,69 | |
| Total. nitrogen | N _t | mg/kg | 660,0 | Cu | 0,0071 | <0,0382 | 6,61 | |
| Org. nitrogen | N _{org} | mg/kg | 624,0 | Zn | <0,0085 | <0,0466 | 6,13 | |
| Min. nitrogen | N _{min} | mg/kg | 36,0 | Со | 0,0023 | 0,03 | 2,64 | |
| H ₂ O-solu.nitrogen | N | mg/kg | 35,9 | Мо | <0,0017 | <0,0373 | <0,0700 | |
| Tot. sulphur | St | mg/kg | 100,0 | В | 0,06 | 0,03 | <1,00 | |
| Tot. Phosphorus | Pt | mg/kg | 392,6 | Sn | 0,01 | 0,09 | 0,89 | |
| Org. Phosphorus | Porg | mg/kg | 183,1 | Se | <0,0254 | <1,1184 | <3,60 | |
| Min. Phosphorus | P _{min} | mg/kg | 209,5 | As | <0,0152 | <0,7456 | 2,01 | |
| C/P-ratio | C/P | m/m | 48,3 | Ni | 0,0053 | <0,0336 | 1,53 | |
| C/S-ratio | C/S | m/m | 88,4 | Cr | 0,0028 | <0,0345 | 1,31 | |
| C/N-ratio | C/N | m/m | 13,4 | Pb | 0,01 | 0,34 | 18,79 | |
| Rel.H ₂ O-capac. | RWK | %Gew. | -, | Cd | <0,0003 | <0,0103 | 0,13 | |
| Wet density | FDICHTE | g/l | | Hg | -, | -,- ,- | -, - | |
| Dry density | TDICHTE | g/l | | TI | <0,0254 | <0,9320 | <4,00 | |
| Extrverh. I | EXI | l/kg | 0,42 | V | 0,0008 | <0,0084 | 5,14 | |
| Extrverh. II | EXII | l/kg | 9,32 | | ., | ., | -, | |
| Colouring/turbidity | FT | | 12 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | L | 1 | |

25.06.15

Receipt of the sample: 26.05.15 Remark:

Engrossment: